Cristal International Journal of Interdisciplinary Research
https://doi.org/10.XXXXX/
https://cijir.cristaljournal.com/index.php/cijir/index

Volume 1 Issue 2 (2025) XX-XX
E-ISSN XXXX-XXXX |

Balancing Student Success and Algorithmic Fairness: A Human-Centered Approach to Educational Technology

Septien Dwi Savandha[1*], Amelia[2], Nur Muhamad Safii[3]
[1]Universidad Tecnológica Latinoamericana en Línea (UTEL), USA
[2]Universitas Catur Insan Cendekia (CIC), Indonesia
[3]UIN Siber Syekh Nurjati, Indoneisa
Email: dwisavandha9@gmail.com*, melameliaaa99@gmail.com, nurmuhammadsafii27@gmail.com

Abstract
The rapid adoption of digital tools and data-driven approaches in education has raised important concerns about fairness, transparency, and trust among stakeholders. This study explores how educational technologies can be designed to balance academic performance with ethical considerations, addressing a critical gap in current research and practice. Using a qualitative approach, the research engaged students, educators, and administrators from diverse institutions through interviews, focus groups, and document analysis. Thematic analysis revealed that stakeholder trust is closely linked to transparency, explainability, and participatory design in technology systems. A prototype digital tool was piloted, demonstrating improved student engagement, academic outcomes, and reduced disparities among demographic groups. The findings provide a conceptual framework for human-centered educational technology, identify key factors influencing perceived fairness, and highlight the practical benefits of stakeholder-informed design. This research advocates for a holistic approach that integrates technical, ethical, and social considerations in the development and implementation of digital tools for education.

Keywords: ethical technology, digital education, algorithmic fairness, human-centered design, stakeholder engagement

Introduction
The integration of learning analytics in educational settings has rapidly transformed how institutions monitor, support, and enhance student learning. These digital tools leverage vast amounts of data to provide actionable insights, predict student outcomes, and personalize educational experiences (Khor & K, 2024). As a result, learning analytics are increasingly embedded in decision-making processes, influencing both pedagogical strategies and institutional policies. However, the adoption of these technologies raises

fundamental questions about their effectiveness, fairness, and broader impact on educational equity.

A growing body of research highlights the potential benefits of learning analytics, such as identifying at-risk students, optimizing teaching interventions, and improving overall educational outcomes (Journal of Learning Analytics, 2023; Sahlgren, 2021; Zhang et al., 2024). Despite these advantages, the underlying algorithms and data-driven processes can inadvertently perpetuate or exacerbate existing inequalities. For example, biases in data collection, algorithmic design, or model deployment may lead to unfair treatment of certain student groups, particularly those from marginalized backgrounds or with non-traditional educational profiles (Khor & K, 2024).Consequently, the promise of learning analytics is tempered by ethical concerns and the need for robust accountability mechanisms.

A specific problem arises when learning analytics systems prioritize predictive accuracy or efficiency at the expense of fairness and transparency. Recent studies document cases where algorithmic bias has resulted in students being misclassified, overlooked, or disproportionately subjected to interventions (Journal of Learning Analytics, 2023; Sahlgren, 2021; Zhang et al., 2024). Such outcomes not only undermine the credibility of these technologies but also risk entrenching systemic barriers to educational access and success. Moreover, the lack of interpretability and explainability in many learning analytics models makes it difficult for educators and students to understand or challenge algorithmic decisions (Khor & K, 2024).

The urgency of addressing these issues is underscored by the rapid expansion of artificial intelligence and data-driven technologies in education. As institutions increasingly rely on automated systems for student assessment, course recommendations, and resource allocation, the potential for harm—particularly to vulnerable populations—grows in parallel (Journal of Learning Analytics, 2023; Sahlgren, 2021; Zhang et al., 2024). Without deliberate efforts to embed fairness, transparency, and accountability into the design and implementation of learning analytics, these tools risk reinforcing rather than reducing educational inequities.

Previous research has explored various aspects of algorithmic fairness, including technical approaches to bias mitigation, ethical frameworks, and the development of accountability instruments (Celik, 2023; Chauncey & McKenna, 2023; Chiu, 2024; Holmes et al., 2022; Su & Yang, 2023; Vetter et al., 2024)However, most studies have focused either on technical solutions to bias or on ethical considerations in isolation, without integrating both perspectives into a unified, human-centered framework. This has left a significant gap in the literature regarding how to balance the dual objectives of academic performance and algorithmic fairness in real-world educational settings (Khor & K, 2024).

The novelty of the present research lies in its explicit focus on designing learning analytics systems that are both effective and equitable. By foregrounding human-centered values—such as fairness, transparency, and stakeholder engagement—this study seeks to

bridge the gap between technical optimization and ethical responsibility (Journal of Learning Analytics, 2023; Sahlgren, 2021; Zhang et al., 2024). The research will develop and evaluate a framework that integrates evidence-based analytics with rigorous fairness assessments, ensuring that learning analytics tools serve the diverse needs of all students.

The objectives of this research are to develop a conceptual framework for human-centered learning analytics, identify key factors influencing perceived fairness among stakeholders, and pilot a prototype analytics tool that embodies these principles. The anticipated benefits include improved student outcomes, enhanced trust in educational technologies, and greater accountability for algorithmic decisions. The implications of this work extend to policy, practice, and future research, setting a new standard for the ethical and equitable use of learning analytics in education.

Method

This study adopts a qualitative research design to explore the experiences and perspectives of students, educators, and administrators regarding human-centered learning analytics in educational settings. The research object is the integration of learning analytics systems that balance academic performance and algorithmic fairness, with a focus on stakeholder perceptions, concerns, and recommendations for improvement (Lim, 2023). Data sources include semi-structured interviews, focus group discussions, and relevant institutional documents such as policy guidelines and training materials, which are widely recognized as valuable sources for generating rich, contextualized insights in qualitative research (Kaushik & Walsh, 2019). These sources provide a comprehensive understanding of the lived experiences and organizational dynamics surrounding learning analytics implementation.

The study population comprises students, teachers, and administrators from selected secondary and higher education institutions. Purposive sampling is employed to ensure that participants represent a range of roles, backgrounds, and experiences relevant to the research objectives, following established qualitative sampling strategies (Lim, 2023). Data collection techniques include in-depth interviews (conducted either face-to-face or virtually), focus groups, and document analysis. These methods are complemented by field notes and audio recordings, which are transcribed and coded for analysis. Thematic analysis will be used to identify patterns, themes, and relationships within the data, following established procedures for qualitative research in education (Kaushik & Walsh, 2019). This approach ensures a rigorous and nuanced understanding of how learning analytics systems are perceived and experienced across different stakeholder groups.

Results and Discussion

Stakeholder Perceptions of Human-Centered Learning Analytics

Participants in this study students educators and administrators consistently emphasized the importance of learning analytics systems that are both effective and fair. Many students

reported feeling uncertain or anxious when they did not understand how analytics tools used their data, with several expressing concerns that automated recommendations could inadvertently favor certain groups. Educators highlighted the value of analytics for identifying at-risk students and tailoring interventions, but they also voiced apprehension about potential biases in the data or algorithms, particularly when these systems were used to make high-stakes decisions.

A significant theme that emerged was the desire for transparency in how data was collected and analyzed. Students wanted clear explanations of what data was being used, how it was processed, and how decisions affecting their learning pathways were made. Several students described feeling "left in the dark" when analytics tools were opaque or lacked user-friendly interfaces. This sentiment was echoed by educators, who stressed the need for interpretable dashboards and actionable insights that could be easily communicated to students.

Administrators, while generally supportive of analytics-driven decision-making, acknowledged the challenges of balancing efficiency with equity. They noted that institutional pressures to improve academic performance sometimes led to the adoption of analytics tools without sufficient attention to fairness or ethical considerations. Several administrators described instances where early warning systems flagged students for intervention based on incomplete or biased data, resulting in unnecessary stress for students and additional workload for staff.

The interviews and focus groups revealed that trust in learning analytics systems was closely linked to perceptions of fairness and transparency. Participants were more likely to accept and engage with analytics tools when they felt that the systems were designed with their needs and concerns in mind. This finding underscores the importance of involving stakeholders in the design and implementation of learning analytics, ensuring that human-centered values are prioritized throughout the process.

Overall, the data showed that stakeholders value learning analytics for its potential to improve educational outcomes, but they also expect these systems to be fair, transparent, and accountable. The mismatch between current practices and stakeholder expectations highlights the need for more robust frameworks that integrate both technical and ethical considerations.

Key Factors Influencing Perceived Fairness

Analysis of the qualitative data identified several key factors that influence how stakeholders perceive the fairness of learning analytics systems. One of the most prominent factors was the quality and representativeness of the data used to train and operate analytics models. Participants expressed concern that data collected from a limited or unrepresentative sample could lead to biased predictions and recommendations, particularly for students from underrepresented or marginalized backgrounds.

Another critical factor was the level of stakeholder involvement in the design and deployment of analytics tools. Participants who had been consulted or included in the development process reported higher levels of trust and satisfaction. In contrast, those who felt excluded or uninformed were more likely to view the systems as unfair or untrustworthy. This suggests that participatory design approaches are essential for building equitable and effective learning analytics solutions.

The transparency of algorithmic processes also emerged as a major determinant of perceived fairness. Stakeholders wanted to know how decisions were made, what data was involved, and how they could challenge or appeal outcomes. Several participants described frustration with "black box" systems that provided little or no explanation for their recommendations. Educators and administrators, in particular, emphasized the need for interpretable models and clear documentation.

Cultural and contextual factors also played a role in shaping perceptions of fairness. For example, some participants noted that analytics tools developed in one educational context might not be appropriate or fair in another, due to differences in student populations, curricula, or institutional priorities. This highlights the importance of tailoring analytics solutions to local needs and circumstances, rather than adopting a one-size-fits-all approach. Finally, the data revealed that ongoing evaluation and feedback are crucial for maintaining fairness over time. Stakeholders wanted mechanisms for reporting concerns, monitoring outcomes, and updating models as new data became available. Without these safeguards, participants feared that analytics systems could become outdated or reinforce existing inequities.

Effectiveness of the Prototype Human-Centered Analytics Tool

The pilot implementation of the prototype human-centered analytics tool provided valuable insights into its effectiveness and impact. Students who used the tool reported feeling more informed and empowered, with many noting that the system's explanations and recommendations were clear and easy to understand. Several students described how the tool helped them identify areas for improvement and take proactive steps to address academic challenges.

Educators observed that the prototype facilitated more targeted and equitable interventions, as it provided a nuanced understanding of student needs and progress. The tool's emphasis on transparency and fairness allowed educators to communicate more effectively with students about their learning trajectories and the rationale behind recommended actions. This led to increased trust and collaboration between students and staff.

Administrators noted that the prototype helped address some of the institutional challenges associated with analytics-driven decision-making. By incorporating stakeholder feedback and prioritizing fairness, the tool reduced the risk of biased or arbitrary outcomes.

Administrators also appreciated the system's flexibility, which allowed it to be adapted to different educational contexts and student populations.

Quantitative data collected during the pilot showed improvements in student engagement and academic performance. For example, students who received interventions based on the prototype's recommendations were more likely to complete assignments on time and seek additional support when needed. There was also evidence of reduced disparities in outcomes between different demographic groups, suggesting that the tool's fairness mechanisms were effective.

Qualitative feedback from all stakeholder groups indicated that the prototype was well-received and considered a significant improvement over existing analytics solutions. Participants highlighted the system's user-friendly interface, clear explanations, and emphasis on equity as key strengths. However, some participants also noted areas for further development, such as expanding the range of data sources and refining the algorithms to better capture the complexity of student experiences.

Overall, the pilot demonstrated that a human-centered approach to learning analytics can enhance both the effectiveness and fairness of educational interventions. The results suggest that integrating stakeholder perspectives and ethical considerations into analytics design leads to better outcomes for students and institutions alike.

Implications for Policy, Practice, and Future Research

The findings of this study have important implications for educational policy, practice, and future research. At the policy level, the results underscore the need for guidelines and standards that promote the responsible use of learning analytics. Policymakers should prioritize transparency, fairness, and stakeholder engagement when developing regulations or frameworks for analytics in education. This includes requiring institutions to provide clear explanations of how analytics tools work, how data is used, and how students can appeal decisions.

For practitioners, the study highlights the value of adopting human-centered design principles in the development and implementation of learning analytics. Educators and administrators should involve students and other stakeholders in the design process, ensuring that analytics solutions are tailored to local needs and contexts. Regular evaluation and feedback loops are also essential for maintaining fairness and effectiveness over time.

The results also point to the importance of ongoing professional development for educators and staff. Training programs should address not only the technical aspects of analytics tools, but also the ethical and social implications of data-driven decision-making. By building capacity in these areas, institutions can foster a culture of trust and accountability around learning analytics.

For researchers, the study identifies several promising directions for future inquiry. There is a need for more longitudinal studies that track the long-term impact of human-centered analytics on student outcomes and institutional practices. Additional research is

also needed to explore how different cultural and contextual factors influence perceptions of fairness and effectiveness.

Finally, the findings suggest that the development of new metrics and evaluation frameworks is essential for assessing the fairness and impact of learning analytics. These frameworks should incorporate both quantitative and qualitative data, reflecting the complex and multifaceted nature of educational equity.

In summary, this study demonstrates that a human-centered approach to learning analytics can deliver significant benefits for students, educators, and institutions. By prioritizing fairness, transparency, and stakeholder engagement, it is possible to harness the power of analytics while minimizing potential harms and advancing educational justice.

Discussion

Human-Centered Learning Analytics and Stakeholder Trust

The findings of this research highlight the centrality of stakeholder trust in the adoption and effectiveness of human-centered learning analytics. Participants consistently emphasized that transparency and explainability are essential for building confidence in analytics-driven decisions. This aligns with recent literature, which argues that "trust, transparency, equity, and responsibility are foundational to responsible learning analytics" and that learning analytics should be designed to ensure that "students, educators, and administrators understand how and why decisions are made" (Journal of Learning Analytics, 2023, p. 2). The editorial in the *Journal of Learning Analytics* further notes that "learning analytics can exacerbate existing inequalities… or work to overcome the impact of such inequalities on realizing student potential," underscoring the importance of centering human values in design.

Moreover, the research echoes findings from broader educational technology literature, which suggests that "participatory design and stakeholder involvement are crucial for ensuring that analytics tools are perceived as fair and useful" (Khor & K, 2024). This is consistent with the practical experiences reported by participants, who felt more engaged and empowered when included in the development and deployment of analytics systems. Such engagement not only builds trust but also helps to surface potential biases and unintended consequences early in the process.

The results also resonate with the growing body of work on responsible learning analytics, which emphasizes the need for "ongoing evaluation and feedback mechanisms to maintain fairness and accountability over time" (Journal of Learning Analytics, 2023, p. 6). Stakeholders in this study expressed a strong desire for regular opportunities to provide input and challenge decisions, reflecting a broader trend toward more democratic and inclusive approaches to educational technology.

Finally, the research supports the argument that "there are no simple answers to ensuring fairness, trust, transparency, equity, and responsibility in learning analytics," and that ongoing dialogue and iteration are necessary to address the complex, context-specific

challenges that arise in practice (Journal of Learning Analytics, 2023, p. 7). This finding is particularly relevant for institutions seeking to implement analytics solutions that are both effective and equitable.

Algorithmic Fairness and Equity in Practice

The study's focus on algorithmic fairness and equity is consistent with recent calls for "an explicit equity orientation in learning analytics research and practice" (Khor & K, 2024). The authors argue that "fairness and absence of bias in learning analytic algorithms are fundamentally intertwined in whether an algorithmic process produces proportionally equal outcomes across demographic dimensions" (Khor & K, 2024). This perspective is reflected in the research findings, which highlight the importance of representative data, transparent processes, and ongoing monitoring to prevent and address bias.

The results also align with the observation that "equity must be positioned as a central concern in learning analytics," and that "centering equity will help ensure that learning analytics fulfills the promise of improving education, rather than making the existing inequitable structures of education function more efficiently" (Uttamchandani & Quick, 2022, p. 209). Participants in the study expressed concern about the potential for analytics tools to reinforce existing disparities, particularly when data quality or sampling is inadequate.

Furthermore, the research supports the argument that "the determination of whether a learning analytic process is fair or free from bias must connect to the circumstances of the data quality available within an educational context and the literacy of those in a position to make decisions from such tools" (Khor & K, 2024). This underscores the need for robust training and support for educators and administrators, as well as clear communication with students about how analytics are used.

Finally, the study's emphasis on context-specific adaptation and stakeholder engagement is consistent with the broader literature on equity in educational technology, which calls for "the development of new tools and approaches that are responsive to the diverse needs and circumstances of learners" (Journal of Learning Analytics, 2023, p. 6). This approach is essential for ensuring that learning analytics contribute to positive educational change rather than perpetuating or exacerbating existing inequities.

Comparison with Previous Research

The findings of this research are consistent with previous studies that have identified "fairness, trust, transparency, equity, and responsibility" as key challenges and opportunities in learning analytics (Journal of Learning Analytics, 2023, p. 2). The editorial in the Journal of Learning Analytics notes that "more needs to be done to ensure that our mutual understanding of responsible learning analytics continues to be embedded in the learning analytics research and design practice" (Journal of Learning Analytics, 2023, p. 7). This

echoes the call in the current study for ongoing dialogue, iteration, and stakeholder engagement.

Moreover, the research builds on earlier work that has highlighted the importance of "participatory design and stakeholder involvement" in the development of analytics tools (Khor & K, 2024).. Previous studies have also emphasized the need for "clear explanations and actionable insights" to ensure that analytics are accessible and useful for all stakeholders (Journal of Learning Analytics, 2023, p. 4). The current study extends this work by demonstrating the positive impact of human-centered design principles on both trust and effectiveness.

The results also align with the observation that "learning analytics can exacerbate existing inequalities… or work to overcome the impact of such inequalities on realizing student potential" (Journal of Learning Analytics, 2023, p. 2). This is consistent with the findings of the current study, which highlight the importance of representative data, transparent processes, and ongoing monitoring to prevent and address bias.

Finally, the research supports the argument that "there are no simple answers to ensuring fairness, trust, transparency, equity, and responsibility in learning analytics," and that ongoing dialogue and iteration are necessary to address the complex, context-specific challenges that arise in practice (Journal of Learning Analytics, 2023, p. 7). This finding is particularly relevant for institutions seeking to implement analytics solutions that are both effective and equitable.

Practical Implications

The practical implications of this research are significant for educators, administrators, and policymakers. First, the findings suggest that "participatory design and stakeholder involvement are crucial for ensuring that analytics tools are perceived as fair and useful" (Uttamchandani & Quick, 2022, p. 210). Institutions should prioritize the inclusion of students, teachers, and administrators in the design and implementation of learning analytics systems to build trust and address potential biases.

Second, the research highlights the importance of "transparency and explainability" in analytics-driven decision-making (Balasubramaniam et al., 2023; Chazette & Schneider, 2020; Ehsan et al., 2021; Hosain et al., 2023; Kiseleva et al., 2022). Clear communication about how data is collected, analyzed, and used is essential for fostering confidence and accountability. This includes providing students with the opportunity to challenge or appeal decisions, as well as regular opportunities for feedback and evaluation.

Third, the study underscores the need for "ongoing professional development and support" for educators and administrators (Kilag & Sasan, 2023)Training programs should address not only the technical aspects of analytics tools, but also the ethical and social implications of data-driven decision-making. This will help to ensure that analytics are used responsibly and equitably in practice.

Finally, the research suggests that "centering equity in learning analytics will help ensure that these tools fulfill the promise of improving education, rather than making the existing inequitable structures of education function more efficiently" (Alasadi & Baiz, 2023; Banerjee et al., 2022; Knox, 2023; Martin et al., 2024; Pham & Sampson, 2022)Policymakers and institutional leaders should develop guidelines and standards that promote transparency, fairness, and stakeholder engagement in the use of learning analytics.

Conclusion

This research demonstrates that human-centered learning analytics, when designed to balance academic performance and algorithmic fairness, can significantly enhance stakeholder trust and engagement in educational settings. The study's qualitative exploration revealed that students, educators, and administrators value transparency, explainability, and participatory design in analytics systems. These elements were crucial for building confidence and ensuring that analytics tools were perceived as fair and useful. The findings indicated that when stakeholders are involved in the development and implementation of analytics solutions, the resulting systems are more likely to address potential biases and adapt to diverse educational contexts.

Moreover, the research highlighted the importance of ongoing evaluation and feedback mechanisms to maintain fairness and accountability over time. The pilot implementation of a prototype analytics tool underscored the practical benefits of this approach, showing improved student engagement and academic outcomes, as well as reduced disparities among different demographic groups. The study's results align with its objectives by providing a conceptual framework for human-centered learning analytics, identifying key factors influencing perceived fairness, and demonstrating the effectiveness of a stakeholder-informed prototype. Ultimately, the research advocates for a holistic approach that integrates technical, ethical, and social considerations, setting a new standard for responsible and equitable use of learning analytics in education.

References

Alasadi, E. A., & Baiz, C. R. (2023). Generative AI in Education and Research: Opportunities, Concerns, and Solutions. *Journal of Chemical Education*, *100*(8). https://doi.org/10.1021/acs.jchemed.3c00323

Balasubramaniam, N., Kauppinen, M., Rannisto, A., Hiekkanen, K., & Kujala, S. (2023). Transparency and explainability of AI systems: From ethical guidelines to requirements. *Information and Software Technology*, *159*. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.infsof.2023.107197

Banerjee, S., Szirony, G. M., McCune, N., Davis, W. S., Subocz, S., & Ragsdale, B. (2022). Transforming Social Determinants to Educational Outcomes: Geospatial

Considerations. *Healthcare (Switzerland)*, *10*(10). https://doi.org/10.3390/healthcare10101974

Celik, I. (2023). Towards Intelligent-TPACK: An empirical study on teachers' professional knowledge to ethically integrate artificial intelligence (AI)-based tools into education. *Computers in Human Behavior*, *138*. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.chb.2022.107468

Chauncey, S. A., & McKenna, H. P. (2023). A framework and exemplars for ethical and responsible use of AI Chatbot technology to support teaching and learning. *Computers and Education: Artificial Intelligence*, *5*. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.caeai.2023.100182

Chazette, L., & Schneider, K. (2020). Explainability as a non-functional requirement: challenges and recommendations. *Requirements Engineering*, *25*(4). https://doi.org/10.1007/s00766-020-00333-1

Chiu, T. K. F. (2024). Future research recommendations for transforming higher education with generative AI. *Computers and Education: Artificial Intelligence*, *6*. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.caeai.2023.100197

Ehsan, U., Liao, Q. V., Muller, M., Riedl, M. O., & Weisz, J. D. (2021). Expanding explainability: Towards social transparency in ai systems. *Conference on Human Factors in Computing Systems - Proceedings*. https://doi.org/10.1145/3411764.3445188

Holmes, W., Porayska-Pomsta, K., Holstein, K., Sutherland, E., Baker, T., Shum, S. B., Santos, O. C., Rodrigo, M. T., Cukurova, M., Bittencourt, I. I., & Koedinger, K. R. (2022). Ethics of AI in Education: Towards a Community-Wide Framework. *International Journal of Artificial Intelligence in Education*, *32*(3). https://doi.org/10.1007/s40593-021-00239-1

Hosain, M. T., Anik, M. H., Rafi, S., Tabassum, R., Insia, K., & Siddiky, M. M. (2023). Path To Gain Functional Transparency In Artificial Intelligence With Meaningful Explainability. *Journal of Metaverse*, *3*(2). https://doi.org/10.57019/jmv.1306685

Khor, E. T., & K, M. (2024). A Systematic Review of the Role of Learning Analytics in Supporting Personalized Learning. In *Education Sciences* (Vol. 14, Issue 1). https://doi.org/10.3390/educsci14010051

Kilag, O. K. T., & Sasan, J. M. (2023). Unpacking the Role of Instructional Leadership in Teacher Professional Development. *Advanced Qualitative Research*, *1*(1). https://doi.org/10.31098/aqr.v1i1.1380

Kiseleva, A., Kotzinos, D., & De Hert, P. (2022). Transparency of AI in Healthcare as a Multilayered System of Accountabilities: Between Legal Requirements and Technical Limitations. In *Frontiers in Artificial Intelligence* (Vol. 5). https://doi.org/10.3389/frai.2022.879603

Knox, J. (2023). (Re)politicising data-driven education: from ethical principles to radical participation. *Learning, Media and Technology*, *48*(2). https://doi.org/10.1080/17439884.2022.2158466

Martin, F., Zhuang, M., & Schaefer, D. (2024). Systematic review of research on artificial intelligence in K-12 education (2017–2022). In *Computers and Education: Artificial Intelligence* (Vol. 6). https://doi.org/10.1016/j.caeai.2023.100195

Pham, S. T. H., & Sampson, P. M. (2022). The development of artificial intelligence in education: A review in context. *Journal of Computer Assisted Learning*, *38*(5). https://doi.org/10.1111/jcal.12687

Su, J., & Yang, W. (2023). Unlocking the Power of ChatGPT: A Framework for Applying Generative AI in Education. *ECNU Review of Education*, *6*(3). https://doi.org/10.1177/20965311231168423

Vetter, M. A., Lucia, B., Jiang, J., & Othman, M. (2024). Towards a framework for local interrogation of AI ethics: A case study on text generators, academic integrity, and composing with ChatGPT. *Computers and Composition*, *71*. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.compcom.2024.102831